

| i | | |
|----|---|-----|
| | | 2 |
| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES</u> | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP | |
| 4 | By: MR. NICHOLAS M. DICARLO 1800 M Street NW | |
| 5 | Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 | |
| 6 | 202.778.1800 ndicarlo@zuckerman.com | |
| 7 | Harcar roezucker marr. com | |
| 8 | ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP By: MR. CYRIL V. SMITH | |
| 9 | 100 East Pratt Street Suite 2440 | |
| 10 | Baltimore, Maryland 21202-1031 410.332.0440 | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | LEGAL AID JUSTICE CENTER | |
| 13 | By: MS. LARISA D. ZEHR 6402 Arlington Boulevard | |
| 14 | Suite 1130 Falls Church, Virginia 22042 | |
| 15 | 703.778.3450 larisa@justice4all.org | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | FOR THE DEFENDANT: MCGUIRE WOODS LLP | |
| 19 | By: MR. MICHAEL S. DINGMAN 1750 Tysons Boulevard | |
| 20 | Suite 1800 Tysons, Virginia 22102 | |
| 21 | 703.712.5000 mdingman@mcguirewoods.com | |
| 22 | ODIN, FELDMAN & PITTLEMAN, P.C. | |
| 23 | By: MR. GRAYSON P. HANES 1775 Wiehle Avenue | |
| 24 | Suite 400 Reston, Virginia 20190 | |
| 25 | 703.218.2195 grayson.hanes@ofplaw.com | |
| | Julie A. Goodwin, CSR, R | DD_ |
| | outle A. Goodwill, CSK, K | 1 |

```
4
    (APRIL 18, 2024, 10:42 A.M., OPEN COURT.)
 1
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Court calls Rosy Giron de
2
   Reves, et al. versus Waples Mobile Home Park Limited
 3
   Partnership, et al.; Case Number 1:16-CV-563.
 4
                May I have appearances, please, first for the
 5
   plaintiffs.
6
 7
             MR. DICARLO: Nicholas DiCarlo of Zuckerman Spaeder
8
    LLP on behalf of the plaintiffs.
9
             MR. SMITH: Good morning, Your Honor. Cy Smith for
10
   the plaintiffs.
11
             THE COURT: Good morning.
                        Good morning, Your Honor. Larisa Zehr with
12
             MS. ZEHR:
    Legal Aid Justice Center for the plaintiffs.
13
14
             THE COURT: Good morning.
                           Good morning, Your Honor. Michael
15
             MR. DINGMAN:
16
   Dingman, McGuireWoods, for the defendants.
17
             THE COURT:
                         Good morning to --
18
             MR. HANES:
                         Good morning. Grayson Hanes, Odin
19
    Feldman, for the defendants.
20
             THE COURT:
                         I'm sorry. Didn't hear that.
21
             MR. HANES: Odin Feldman Pittleman.
             THE COURT: Okay.
22
                                Thank you.
23
                Good morning to you. And so we are on today for a
            And I've reviewed the parties' joint status report.
24
    status.
25
   And so my purpose here this morning is to hear more about where
```

we stand, how quickly you think we are -- would be in the position to set this for trial, or how soon that trial date could be, and then to set a few dates.

I also want to see if anything has changed in terms of your witness list, just given the -- you know, that so much time has passed in terms of are these -- you know, have you had contact with the witnesses, are they still available, and things like that. And so first, I'm going to start off hearing from plaintiffs.

MR. DICARLO: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I need you to come to the podium.

MR. DICARLO: Of course.

Our principle goal today is to set a prompt date for trial. It's been eight years since our clients were forced from their homes in defendant's mobile home park. We've been up to the Fourth Circuit twice. The areas that are controverted have been narrowed, and we believe that we'll be ready in relatively short order to have a jury trial.

We were only a few weeks out when Judge O'Grady sua sponte ordered summary judgment the last time the parties had exchanged jury instructions, voir dire questions, and a proposed verdict form. We are currently -- there are motions in limine that are currently being briefed through -- through May, but I think our hope would be that we could set a trial date sometime in late summer or early fall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As to our witness list, our witnesses are currently available. We do expect that the plaintiff families will be in attendance and will be here. A logistical issue involved with that is we will need consecutive translation for -- for the families for their testimony. THE COURT: Okay. You know you provide that. MR. DICARLO: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: The parties -- in civil cases, the parties provide the translators for their witnesses. MR. DICARLO: Yes, and we will -- and we will do so. I just -- I wanted to bring that to the Court's attention in terms of duration and a logistical aspect --THE COURT: Okay. MR. DICARLO: -- of our presentation. THE COURT: Okay. MR. DICARLO: But our witness list has not changed. We have the same experts, and our plaintiff families will be here as well. We would be interested -- the parties have discussed settlement. We would be interested in a parallel track in having a mediated settlement conference, if defendants

are interested, with the magistrate judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let you-all discuss settlement on your own. But I will say in all of my final pretrials I strongly urge the parties to contact the assigned

magistrate judge. In this case, that is Judge Porter. Because our magistrate judges here -- and I'm sure you are, both sides are aware of this -- have been very successful in helping our parties reach resolution, because having a neutral third party involved, helping parties and sometimes clients accept -- you know, assess the strength or weaknesses in a case, and sometimes help with client control somewhat, and expectations, they have been very successful in that.

And so if you -- if both sides are interested in

And so if you -- if both sides are interested in that, you should be pursuing that sooner as opposed to later. You should be reaching out to his chambers immediately to schedule that.

MR. DICARLO: Thank you, Your Honor.

And -- and lastly, in terms of logistics, the clerk's office has pinged us about filing the motions in limine without calling for a --

THE COURT: They do that automatically. I'm setting the hearing for the motions in limine today.

MR. DICARLO: Wonderful.

THE COURT: Okay?

And so in terms of -- and I know there's the outstanding objection defendants have to your witness list as well as to the exhibit list. And then I think you filed that motion to amend in response to that, and so I'll take that up at the time I take up the motions in limine. Okay?

Case 1:16-cv-00563-PTG-WBP Document 499 Filed 07/01/24 Page 8 of 20 PageID# 11751 8 MR. DICARLO: Wonderful. 1 THE COURT: What about the stipulation of uncontested 2 3 facts that were filed. Are there going to be any changes to that? 4 MR. DICARLO: Prior to the grant of -- the second 5 grant of summary judgment, the parties had exchanged additional 6 7 stipulations. We've not agreed to them, but that will be on 8 the parties' plate, and we will -- we will pursue that as to whether we have additional stipulations that the parties will 10 agree to. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 Anything further? MR. DICARLO: No, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: And so is it Mr. Dingman? MR. DINGMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. I'll hear from you next. MR. DINGMAN: 17 Thank you. 18 So with respect to what needs to be done to get 19 this case to trial, there are a few things that I think the 20 Court needs to consider. One is, what are the issues that are 21 left to be tried in this case? 22

The defendants' view is there's still the step one statistical disparity issue on robust causality that's still in the case.

23

24

25

Step two, which is the legitimate purpose for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
9
```

policy. Clearly the Fourth Circuit has ruled that the anti-harboring statute is out of the case. So the -- what remains on step two is the policy's purpose to conduct criminal background checks and credit checks, which -- and certainly plaintiff can speak to this. I don't think there's a challenge, if that's not a legitimate purpose. My understanding is the challenge is more the documentation that's utilized for that purpose. That's the -- the issue that's being raised by the plaintiffs, which to us seems more like a step three argument because step three is, is there a less impactful way to achieve the same policy. So, I think it would be helpful to have the parties and the Court clarify those issues because I also --THE COURT: Are you going to be filing a motion on this? MR. DINGMAN: I'm sorry? THE COURT: Are you going to be filing a motion with respect to this? MR. DINGMAN: Yes. We can certainly file a motion and set forth -- and I'll confer with plaintiffs' counsel as well to see if we can come to an agreement on what those issues are because I think that's going to be important for how the trial And then we're going to need, I would think, you proceeds. know, specific questions for the jury to consider as they're going through the various steps of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: In terms of the verdict form, yes.

MR. DINGMAN: Yes.

So that's something I'm happy to talk to plaintiffs' counsel. And if we can submit something jointly that we agree, we'll do so. If there's some disagreement, then we'll file an appropriate motion.

The next issue with respect to trial is one of our motions in limine deals with the plaintiffs' claims for damages. If the Court denies that motion in limine and allows the plaintiffs to present damage evidence -- and we'll file a separate motion on this as well, but I just wanted to bring it to Your Honor's attention that we would ask for a bifurcation of the liability phase and the damage phase of the trial because we are concerned that the damage testimony would prejudice the defendants' ability to have a fair determination on the issue of liability.

So that's -- and again, I'm happy to talk to plaintiffs' counsel. But if the motion in limine is denied and damages are allowed, then we do intend to seek that bifurcation.

The last issue I wanted to raise to Your Honor is we had filed a motion to strike Professor Clark, who is the statistical expert for the plaintiffs, which Judge Ellis But I just want to alert the Court that we intend to renew our objections with respect to the reliability of his

MR. DINGMAN: I think that as long as we can get through these issues -- and I would like to -- as Your Honor pointed out with witnesses, we've been tracking our witnesses down, so I would like to confer with them as well. But I do

23

24

25

-Julie A. Goodwin, CSR, RPR-

So I think that --

THE COURT: That was my intention of bringing us here, and that was one of the things that you-all listed in your joint status report --

MR. DINGMAN: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- for the Court to do would be to go ahead and set that trial date. And I think it's important to do that because it gives us the limits. Then we can set our hearing date on the motions in limine --

MR. DINGMAN: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- the additional motions that you mentioned today. But we'll go ahead and set a hearing date for those, and then we can just move -- make sure everything is moving forward. Because I'm sure given this -- you know, how long this litigation has taken, both sides want a resolution as quickly as possible, and so I do want to -- I'm sensitive to that as well.

MR. DINGMAN: Yes.

So I think that late summer, early fall should be sufficient for defendants to be ready for trial.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And let's see here. You're still tracking down your witnesses, so you can't tell me right now whether or not you'll have any changes to that witness list.

MR. DINGMAN: Right. We're not anticipating any changes at this time, Your Honor, but we'll confirm that in short order.

THE COURT: Okay.

How long -- I didn't ask this. How long did each side anticipate their case taking?

I'll start with you, Mr. Dingman, since you're at the podium.

MR. DINGMAN: Your Honor, I think that the -- the liability piece of the case I would think could be done in a couple of days.

THE COURT: Both sides?

MR. DINGMAN: That would be my belief. I think if damages are allowed, then I would probably double that estimate and say four days for the full trial.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. DiCarlo, how long do you anticipate?

MR. DICARLO: We anticipate that we should be able to complete trial within -- within one week.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DICARLO: We do think that the bifurcation issue

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
14
would unnecessarily lengthen the time period, and we do oppose
that.
            I will note just for the Court --
         THE COURT:
                     I'm sure we're going to have a full
briefing on the whole bifurcation issue, so you don't -- don't
feel the need to make your argument now.
         MR. DICARLO: I won't make my argument. I will only
note that there is a pending motion in -- in defendants' motion
in limine that addresses damages. The bifurcation issue is --
is addressed, and we will be addressing it in our opposition to
that -- to that pending motion in limine.
         THE COURT: Oh, I see it. Okay.
         MR. DICARLO: And I will -- I'll note for the Court as
well that when we were proceeding last time we had set it -- we
had set aside one week --
         THE COURT: One week.
                                Okay.
         MR. DICARLO: And we do think that we would be able
to -- to wrap up within one week.
         THE COURT:
                     0kay.
                            Both sides?
         MR. DICARLO: Correct.
         THE COURT:
                     Okay.
            So, I have availability in August, but I will say
      We will run into issues in terms of picking a jury when
people are on -- you know, a lot of people are on vacation
```

—Julie A. Goodwin, CSR, RPR-

during that time frame period. I'm happy to set an August date

```
16
                So I'll start on the 17th. We'll sit the 17th,
 1
    18th, 19th, and then it will flow into the following week.
2
 3
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, if I may.
                                                 Cy Smith.
                I have a trial in New York that starts on Wednesday
 4
    the 25th, and I'm a little -- I'm a little concerned that if we
5
   got to the end of it, it might cut me short.
6
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. So do you-all want to go back to
8
   the week of the 10th? Let me know because I don't -- I'm not
9
   pushing this into --
             MR. SMITH:
10
                         Right.
                         Because if you're heading into trial the
11
             THE COURT:
12
    following week that means you're not going to be available the
    following week. Correct?
13
             MR. SMITH:
14
                         Can I have just a moment with Mr. DiCarlo?
             THE COURT:
15
                         Sure.
16
             MR. SMITH:
                         Is that all right?
        (BRIEF PAUSE.)
17
18
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, we've conferred, and we'll go
19
   with September 10th.
                          Appreciate it.
20
             THE COURT:
                         September 10th.
21
                Is that still good for you?
22
             MR. DINGMAN:
                           That -- September 10 is not available
23
    for me, Your Honor.
                         That's -- the 17th works fine, but not --
24
             THE COURT:
                         Okay. What's going on on the 10th for
25
   vou?
```

for the motions hearing. Let's see here. And there are a lot of motions here.

So for this, I'm going to -- I'm not going to set this because there are so many motions in this one case. I'm not going to set it on a normal motions day. I'm going to bring you-all in on a -- I'll bring you in on a Wednesday for motions hearing in this case.

And so if our trial is September 16, I'm tempted to do motions -- the motions hearing in July so it's well before trial so you can have time to reassess and make changes.

July 18 -- July 17th for our motions hearing.

MR. DICARLO: That works well for the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And we'll start at 11:00. So July 17th at 11:00 a.m.

Okay. Now, I'm going to go over a few housekeeping matters with respect for our trial.

You-all know under the local rules that our voir dire and our jury instructions are due seven calendar days before trial, but I have special instructions for jury instructions for the parties. And that is you are to file one agreed upon set of jury instructions, then any disputed instructions are filed by each side, separately of course. But what you file on the record, I want you to also submit electronically to chambers in Word format, so I'm getting your

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA 2 3 I, JULIE A. GOODWIN, Official Court Reporter for 4 the United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, 5 do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 6 7 from the record of proceedings in the above matter, to the best of my ability. I further certify that I am neither counsel for, 9 10 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not 11 12 financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. 13 Certified to by me this 1ST day of JULY, 2024. 14 15 16 17 /s/ JULIE A. GOODWIN, RPR Official U.S. Court Reporter 18 401 Courthouse Square Eighth Floor 19 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 20 21 22 23 24 25 -Julie A. Goodwin, CSR, RPR-